UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,422	09/17/2003	Guy A. Rouleau	GOUD:023USD3	3964
Michael R. Kra	7590 08/11/200 wzsenek	EXAMINER		
Fulbright & Jav	vorski L.L.P.	KOLKER, DANIEL E		
Suite 2400 600 Congress A	venue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 787		1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,422	ROULEAU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DANIEL KOLKER	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affic eal (with appeal fee) in compliar	davit, or other evidence, vace with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amo hortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropri- originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see l w);	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a converse of the state	16 and 41.33(a)).	•	DTOL 224)
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·	,	•
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14,17,20,23,24 and 29-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) □		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , ,		ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/Daniel E. Kolker, P	h.D./	
	Patent Examiner, Art August 6, 2008	Unit 1649	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims include newly-added limitations and features which have not been searched and which require further consideration. The proposed amendment to claim 23 has not been searched, nor have the newly-added sequences, recited in proposed claims 36 and 39. Additionally, only one claim was canceled in the proposed amendment (claim 29), whereas six newly-proposed claims were added (claims 36 - 41).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks and arguments are drawn to claim amendments which have not been entered for the reasons set forth above. Additionally, it is noted that the rejections of record stand, and several rejections may still apply to the proposed amendments. For example, the rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103 over Lu would still apply to at least claims 14 and 35 which allow for considerable variation from sequences recited in those claims. Note this is not a complete list of rejections which would apply to the proposed amendments.

/D.K./